- 1			
1	MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney - S THOMAS H. PETERS, Chief Assistant C CORY M. BRENTE, Assistant City Attor SUREKHA A. PESSIS, Deputy City Atto Email: Surekha.Pessis@lacity.org	BN 111529x	
2	CORY M. BRENTE, Assistant City Attor	ney SDN 102206	
3	Email: Surekha. Pessis@lacity.org	mey - SDN 193200	
4	1 ZOO INOITH MAIN SHEEL		
5	6th Floor, City Hall East Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone No.: (213) 978-7036, Fax No.: (213) 978-8785		
6	Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF LOS ANGELES		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CARL MITCHELL, MICHAEL	CASE NO.: CV16-01750 SJO (JPRx)	
12	ESCOBEDO, SALVADOR ROQUE, JUDY COLEMAN, as individuals; LOS	Hon. S. James Otero, Ctrm. 1 Mag. Jean P. Rosenbluth, Ctrm. A	
13	ANGELES CATHOLIC WORKER, CANGRESS, as organizations,	DEFENDANT CITY OF LOS	
14	Plaintiffs,	ANGELES' ANSWER TO	
15	v.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
16	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal		
17	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal entity; LT. ANDREW MATHIS, SGT. HAMER and SGT. RICHTER, in their individual and official capacities,		
18	individual and official capacities,		
19	Defendants.		
20)	
21			
22			
23	COMES NOW DEFENDANT, CITY OF LOS ANGELES answers Plaintiffs		
24	First Amended Complaint in the above-entitled action, for itself alone and for no other		
25	party, hereby admits, denies, and alleges as follows:		
26	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
27	1. Answering Paragraph 1, Defendant admits Plaintiffs are seeking relies		
28	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 as well	as 28 U.S.C. Section 2201(a) and 2202.	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. Answering Paragraph 2, Defendant admits venue is proper in the United States District Court-Central District of California.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 3. Answering Paragraph 3, Defendant concludes Plaintiffs do not make any allegations at this paragraph. Rather, they make an introductory statement regarding the plight of the homeless. As such, Defendant is not required to either admit or deny the language set forth in this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 4, Defendant concludes Plaintiffs do not make any allegations at this paragraph. Rather, they make an introductory statement regarding the plight of the homeless. As such, Defendant is not required to either admit or deny the language set forth in this paragraph. However, the City has allocated significant resources to addressing issues facing the homeless population within the City's geographic boundaries.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5, Defendant denies the contention that the City has not met its obligation as a result of the Jones settlement.
- Answering Paragraph 6, Defendant admits it has allocated significant resources to addressing issues confronted by the homeless population within the City's geographic boundaries.
- Answering Paragraph 7, Defendant denies the allegations of wrongdoing 7. contained therein. Further, the City claims it has devoted significant resources to addressing the issues confronted by the homeless population within the City's geographic boundaries.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8, Defendant denies the allegations of wrongdoing contained therein. Further, the City claims it has devoted significant resources to addressing the issues confronted by the homeless population within the City's geographic boundaries.
- Answering Paragraph 9, Defendant admits it has litigated the 9. constitutionality of LAMC Sections 41.18(d) and 85.02 in the Ninth Circuit. Defendant

2

3 4

5 6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

denies that the rulings in the prior litigation set forth therein are relevant to the remaining legal claims now before the Court.

- Answering Paragraph 10, Defendant admits it was a party to the litigation 10. cited therein, but denies the allegations of wrongdoing contained therein.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11, Defendant denies it has criminalized homelessness and denies that the litigation matters stated therein support any such contention.
- Answering Paragraph 12, Defendant denies its employees committed any 12. action which violated a federal or state right of any homeless person as alleged in the Lavan litigation.
- Answering Paragraph 13, Defendant denies its employees committed any 13. action which violated a federal or state right of any homeless person as alleged in the Lavan litigation.
 - Answering Paragraph 14, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 14.
 - Answering Paragraph 15, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 15.
- Answering Paragraph 16, Defendant denies the allegations contained 16. therein.
- Answering Paragraph 17, Defendant denies the allegations contained 17 therein.

PARTIES

PLAINTIFFS

Carl Mitchell

- Answering Paragraph 18, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief 18. upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.
 - Answering Paragraph 19, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 19.
 - 20. Answering Paragraph 20, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - Answering Paragraph 21, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 21.

Michael Escobedo

- 22. Answering Paragraph 22, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. **Salvador Roque**
 - 24. Answering Paragraph 24, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 25. Answering Paragraph 25, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.
 - 27. Answering Paragraph 27, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 28. Answering Paragraph 28, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 29. Answering Paragraph 29, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 30. Answering Paragraph 30, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 31. Answering Paragraph 31, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

Judy Coleman

- 32. Answering Paragraph 32, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33, Defendant admits Lieutenant Mathis has spoken to Plaintiff Coleman, but the City denies the allegations of wrongdoing contained therein.
 - 34. Answering Paragraph 34, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 35. Answering Paragraph 35, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.

1
 2
 3

38. Answering Paragraph 38, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.

Los Angeles Catholic Worker

- 39. Answering Paragraph 39, Defendant concludes Plaintiffs are not making any allegations of wrongdoing therein. However, Defendant admits Los Angeles Catholic Worker is an organization which provides various services to the homeless community in the downtown Los Angeles area.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40, Defendant admits LACW possesses and distributes shopping carts to third parties and denies the allegations of wrongdoing contained therein.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.

CANGRESS

42. Answering Paragraph 42, Defendant admits CANGRESS is an organization but lacks sufficient information in order to admit or deny the contention that CANGRESS is a non-profit organization. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained therein.

DEFENDANTS

- 43. Answering Paragraph 43, Defendant admits the City of Los Angeles is a public entity and denies the allegations that its employees have engaged in the acts complained of in the Complaint pursuant to the policies, practices and customs of the City.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44, Defendant admits Lieutenant Mathis, Sgt. Hamer and Sgt. Richter are supervisors within the Los Angeles Police Department. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained therein.
 - 45. Answering Paragraph 45, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 46. Answering Paragraph 46, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief

upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the 1 allegations. 2 THE SEIZURE AND DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY 3 Answering Paragraph 47, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 4 47. 5 48. Answering Paragraph 48, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. Answering Paragraph 49, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 6 49. 50. 7 Answering Paragraph 50, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. Answering Paragraph 51, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 8 51. 9 52. Answering Paragraph 52, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. Answering Paragraph 53, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 10 53. Answering Paragraph 54, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 54. 11 12 55. Answering Paragraph 55, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. Answering Paragraph 56, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 13 56. Further, Defendant City has amended Los Angeles Municipal Code Section 56.11 since 14 the Amended Complaint appears to have been drafted and signed by Attorney Sobel on 15 or before March 17, 2015. 16 17 57. Answering Paragraph 57, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 58. Answering Paragraph 58, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 18 59. 19 Answering Paragraph 59, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 20 60. Answering Paragraph 60, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 21 61. Answering Paragraph 61, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 62. 22 Answering Paragraph 62, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 63. Answering Paragraph 63, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 23 THE HEALTH AND SAFETY RISK TO UNHOUSED PERSONS 24 64. Answering Paragraph 64, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief 25 upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the 26 allegations. 27 Answering Paragraph 65, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief 28 65.

upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.

- 66. Answering Paragraph 66, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 67. Answering Paragraph 67, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to answer the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies the allegations.
 - 68. Answering Paragraph 68, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 69. Answering Paragraph 69, Defendant denies the allegations of wrongdoing contained therein and emphasizes the City has allocated significant resources to addressing issues facing the homeless population within the City's geographic boundaries.
- 70. Answering Paragraph 70, Defendant concludes Plaintiff is not alleging any facts which relate to the contention that one or more of the Plaintiffs were arrested without probable cause or that one or more of the Plaintiffs has suffered a violation of due process with regard to personal property. As such, Defendant is not required to assert either an admission or denial in response to Paragraph 70.
 - 71. Answering Paragraph 71, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Right to Be Secure From Unreasonable Seizures

42 U.S.C. §1983 - Fourth Amendment; Art. 1, §13, California Constitution

- 72. Answering Paragraph 72, which incorporates by reference the allegations of other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by reference the answers provided herein to those paragraphs.
 - 73. Answering Paragraph 73, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 74. Answering Paragraph 74, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 75. Answering Paragraph 75, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 76. Answering Paragraph 76, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

- 1			
1	77.	Answering Paragraph 77, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.	
2		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
3.	Right to Due Process of Law		
4	42	2 U.S.C. §1983 - Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments; Art. 1, §7	
5	78.	Answering Paragraph 78, which incorporates by reference the allegations of	
6	other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by reference		
7	the answers provided herein to those paragraphs.		
8	79.	Answering Paragraph 79, Defendant denies its employees or agents violated	
9	the constitutional rights of any of the Plaintiffs in this litigation.		
10	80.	Answering Paragraph 80, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.	
11	81.	Answering Paragraph 81, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.	
12	82.	Answering Paragraph 82, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.	
13	83.	Answering Paragraph 83, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.	
14		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
15	Violation of Civil Rights		
16	42 U.S.C. §1983 - Fourteenth Amendment		
17		State Created Danger	
18	84.	Answering Paragraph 84, which incorporates by reference the allegations of	
19	other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by reference		
20	the answers provided herein to those paragraphs.		
21	85.	Answering Paragraph 85, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.	
22	86.	Answering Paragraph 86, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.	
23		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
24		Violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 et seq.:	
25		Title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act	
26	87.	Answering Paragraph 87, which incorporates by reference the allegations of	
27	other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by reference		
28	the answers provided herein to those paragraphs.		

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION 2 Violation of Civil Rights: Interference By Threat, Intimidation or Coercion 3 California Civil Code §52.1 4 103. Answering Paragraph 103, which incorporates by reference the allegations 5 of other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by 6 reference the answers provided herein to those paragraphs. 7 104. Answering Paragraph 104, Defendant denies the allegations contained 8 therein. 9 105. Answering Paragraph 105, Defendant denies the allegations contained 10 therein. 11 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 12 California Government Code §11135 13 106. Answering Paragraph 106, which incorporates by reference the allegations of other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by 14 15 reference the answers provided herein to those paragraphs. 16 107. Answering Paragraph 107, Defendant admits Plaintiffs are seeking relief pursuant to California Government Code Section 11135. Defendant denies any of the 17 Plaintiffs have been discriminated against by any agent or employee of the City of 18 Angeles. 19 20 108. Answering Paragraph 108, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 21 22 109. Answering Paragraph 109, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 23 24 110. Answering Paragraph 110, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 25 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION** 26 27 Violation of Unruh Civil Rights Act: Civil Code §52.1 et seq. 28 111. Answering Paragraph 111, which incorporates by reference the allegations

of other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by reference the answers provided herein to those paragraphs.

- 112. Answering Paragraph 112, Defendant admits Plaintiffs are seeking relief pursuant to California Civil Code Section 51. Defendant denies Plaintiff is entitled to any such relief.
- 113. Answering Paragraph 113, Defendant denies any Plaintiff has suffered discrimination by any City employee or agent.
- 114. Answering Paragraph 114, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 115. Answering Paragraph 115, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 116. Answering Paragraph 116, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

California Civil Code §2080, et seq.

- 117. Answering Paragraph 117, which incorporates by reference the allegations of other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendants to the same extent incorporate by reference the answers provided herein to those paragraphs.
- 118. Answering Paragraph 118, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Conversation

- 119. Answering Paragraph 119, which incorporates by reference the allegations of other paragraphs of the pleading, Defendant to the same extent incorporates by reference the answers provided herein to those paragraphs.
- 120. Answering Paragraph 120, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein and this cause of action has been dismissed.
 - 121. Answering Paragraph 121, Defendant denies the allegations contained

therein and this cause of action has been dismissed.

1

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 1 As separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant CITY OF LOS 2 **ANGELES** alleges each of the following: 3 FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 Defendant alleges there was probable cause to arrest Plaintiffs Carl Mitchell, 5 Salvador Roque, and Judy Coleman on the dates alleged in the Complaint. 7 8 Defendant hereby reserves the right to amend this Answer in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 10 11 PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES prays for judgment 12 as follows: 13 That Plaintiffs take nothing by this action; 1. 14 That the action be dismissed: 15 2. 3. That Defendant be awarded costs of suit: 16 That Defendant be awarded other and further relief as the Court may deem 17 4. just and proper, including an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988. 18 19 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 20 Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES demands and requests a trial by jury in this 21 22 matter. 23 DATED: May 20, 2016 24 MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney THOMAS H. PETERS, Chief Assistant City Attorney CORY M. BRENTE, Assistant City Attorney 25 26 27 28 Attorneys for Defendant. CITY OF LOS ANGELES